

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

TYLER HARRIS,

Case No.2:25-CV-211 JCM (DJA)

Plaintiff(s),

ORDER

v.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Albregts's report and recommendation ("R&R") to dismiss this action without prejudice. (ECF No. 4). The court may dismiss an action based on a party's failure to prosecute or comply with a court order. (*Id.* at 1). After considering the factors set forth in *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439 (9th Cir. 1998), Judge Albregts recommends that this case be dismissed. (*Id.* at 2).

No objections were filed to the R&R. Thus, the court is not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the R&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("[T]he district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise.").

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Judge Albregts's R&R (ECF No. 4) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED, in full.

...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice. The clerk  
2 of the court is INSTRUCTED to enter judgment in favor of defendants and close this case.

3 DATED May 21, 2025.

4   
5 

---

  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE